The Applicant re-submits a new RCE in place of the RCE that was filed on February

04, 2010. The new RCE now ticks box "b" to enclose this reply. No fees have been paid

with this RCE, as the fee of \$810 was paid with the RCE filed on the 4th of February. A one

month extension fee is enclosed.

In response to the Examiner's Advisory Action of January 25, 2010 with respect to

the present RCE application, the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying request

for continued examination and the following Remarks.

Regarding 11

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of pending claim 1, and claims 3-

10, is not disclosed or suggested by Hameau either taken alone or in view of Pires because,

for at least the following reasons.

The Examiner retains the assertion that the "secret master key" described at

paragraph 58 of Hameau is "not stated to be stored in nonvolatile memory". The Applicant

again respectfully disagrees based on the previously cited disclosure of Hameau and the

following further disclosure of Hameau.

At paragraph 64 of Hameau it is disclosed that "The secret key K_M can be present in

ROM, or "probe" written in EEPROM, as mentioned above." This disclosure at paragraph

64 is between the Examiner's cited disclosures at paragraphs 58 and 65, where paragraph 65

discloses that the "intermediate results are stored in registers or in RAM".

From this disclosure at paragraph 64, one of ordinary skill in the art clearly

understands that the "key K_M" disclosed by Hameau (whether it be termed "key", "secret

master key", "secret symmetric key", "master key" or "secret key" which are clearly

interchangeably used in Hameau), is stored in non-volatile memory.

Thus, it follows that "secret master key K_M" disclosed at paragraph 58 of Hameau

cannot be considered as the "secret information" of pending independent claim 1, contrary

to the Examiner's assertions, because pending independent claim 1 explicitly recites that

"the secret information not being stored by the non-volatile memory".

Appln No. 10/727179

Amdt. Dated: February 12, 2010

Response to Office Action of January 25, 2010

3

Further, Pires provides no disclosure which makes up for this difference between

Hameau and the claimed invention.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and

reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

lusz

Kia Silverbrook, Managing Director

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd 393 Darling Street Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: pair@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762